APPENDIX G

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
TABLE OF CONTENTS	ii
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES	iv
SCOPE OF THIS COURT'S ORDER GRANTING REVIEW	1
INTRODUCTION AND SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT	2
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	8
A. Prior Litigation	8
B. Procedural Background and Interim Appeal	9
C. The Subject Ordinances	11
D. Trial	12
E. Facts	13
(1) Facts in the record	13
(2) Facts arising after the conclusion of trial	18
F. Standard Of Review	19
ARGUMENT	20
I	
N.C.M.C § 18.69.030 VIOLATES THE FIRST AMENDMENT BECAUSE IT WAS ENACTED FOR THE TRANSPARENT AND PREDOMINANT PURPOSE OF DENYING ALL REASONABLE OPPORTUNITIES FOR ADULT ENTERTAINMENT BUSINESSES TO LOCATE IN NATIONAL CITY	20
A. The Obvious And Devastating Effect Which This Ordinance Has On The Ability Of Adult Entertainment Businesses To Find Locations Is Strong Evidence Of A Predominant Censorial Purpose	22

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

B. Further Evidence Of The City's Predominant Censorial Purpose Is Its "Urgency" Enactment of Ordinance 1974 Which Now Requires A Completely Unattainable Conditional Use Permit 34

C. The City's Failure To Justify Its Unprecedentedly Large Separation Requirements Is Further Evidence Of A Predominant And Impermissible Censorial Intent 37

II

N.C.M.C. § 18.69.030 MUST BE STRICKEN UNDER THE FIRST AMENDMENT DUE TO ITS SEVERELY RESTRICTIVE EFFECT REGARDLESS OF ANY PURPOSE THE COUNCIL HAD IN ENACTING IT 39

III

THE CHILLING IMPACT OF PROTRACTED LITIGATION UPON THE EXERCISE OF FIRST AMENDMENT FREEDOMS IS A COMPELLING REASON FOR THIS COURT TO INDEPENDENTLY CONCLUDE THAT THE ORDINANCE HERE AT ISSUE ALSO VIOLATES RESPONDENT'S AND THE PUBLIC'S RIGHTS TO FREE EXPRESSION GUARANTEED UNDER ARTICLE I, SECTION 2 OF THE CALIFORNIA CONSTITUTION 41

A. Article I, Section 2 Of The California Constitution Provides An Independent Basis For Relief Herein 41

B. Public Policy Reasons In This Particular Case Strongly Support A Ruling By This Court Under Both The First Amendment To The United States Constitution And Article I, Section 2 Of The California Constitution 43

CONCLUSION 45

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Federal Cases

Page

Acorn Investments, Inc. v. City of Seattle, 887 F.2d 219 (9th Cir. 1989) 21, 38

Alexander v. City of Minneapolis, 698 F.2d 936 (8th Cir. 1983) 40

CLR Corp. v. Henline, 702 F.2d 637 (6th Cir. 1983) 40

City of Renton v. Playtime Theatres, Inc., 475 U.S. 41, 106 S.Ct. 925 (1986) 2, 6, 20, 23, 24, 28, 31, 39

City of Whittier v. Walnut Properties, Inc., 861 F.2d 1102 (9th Cir. 1988) 23, 39, 40

Bose v. Consumer's Union of U.S., Inc., 466 U.S. 485, 104 S.Ct. 1948 (1988) 19

Gentile v. State Bar of Nevada, ____ U.S. ____, ____ S.Ct. ____, ____ L.Ed.2d ____, 59 U.S. Law Week 4858, 91 Daily Journal D.A.R. 7639 (June 27, 1991) .. 19

Tollis, Inc. v. San Bernardino County, 827 F.2d 1329 (9th Cir. 1987) 21, 38

Walnut Properties, Inc. v. City of Whittier, 808 F.2d 1331 (9th Cir. 1987) 19, 21, 38

Young v. American Mini Theatres, 427 U.S. 50, 96 S.Ct. 2440 (1976) 2, 6, 23, 39, 45

State Cases

Burton v. Municipal Court, 68 Cal.2d 684, 68 Cal.Rptr. 721 (1968) 36

Castner v. City of Oakland, 129 Cal.App.3rd 94 (1982) 32

City of Imperial Beach v. Escott, 115 C.A.3d 134, 171 Cal.Rptr. 197 (1981) 36

City of Stanton v. Cox, 207 Cal.App.3d 1557, 255 Cal.Rptr. 682 (1989) 23

City of Stanton v. Cox, 207 Cal.App.3d 1563, 255 Cal.Rptr. 682 (1989) 40

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

STATE CASES

Page

City of Vallejo v. Adult Books, 167 Cal.App.3d 1169 ..... 23, 28, 31-33

City of Whittier v. Walnut Properties, 149 Cal.App.3d 633, 197 Cal.Rptr. 127 ..... 5, 36

Ebel v. City of Garden Grove, 120 Cal.App.3d 399, 176 Cal.Rptr. 312 (1981) 36

Good Government Group v. Superior Court, 22 Cal.3d 672, 150 Cal.Rptr. 258 (1978) 43

Kaufman v. Fidelity Fed. Sav. & Loan Ass'n., 140 Cal.App.3d 913, 189 Cal.Rptr. 818 (1983) 43

People v. Glaze, 27 Cal.3d 841, 166 Cal.Rptr. 859 (1980) 42

Perrine v. Municipal Court, 5 Cal.3d 656, 97 Cal.Rptr. 320 (1971) 36

Raven v. Deukmejian, 52 Cal.3d 336, 276 Cal.Rptr. 326 (1990) 42

Robins v. Pruneyard Shopping Center, 23 Cal.3d 899, 153 Cal.Rptr. 854 (1979) 42

Sands v. Morongo United School District, 53 Cal.3d 863, 281 Cal.Rptr. 34 (1991) 43

Sebago, Inc. v. City of Alameda, 211 Cal.App.3d 1372, 259 Cal.Rptr. 918 (1989) 21, 37, 38

Strand Property Corp. v. Municipal Court, 148 Cal.App.3rd 882, 200 Cal.Rptr. 47 (1983) 32

Walnut Properties v. Long Beach City Council, 100 Cal.App.3rd 1018, 161 Cal.Rptr. 411 (1980) 31

Wilson v. Superior Court, 13 Cal.3d 652, 119 Cal.Rptr. 468 (1975) 42

Federal Statutes

United States Constitution 42, 43

First Amendment 7, 19, 31, 34, 39, 40, 42, 43, 44, 45

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Federal Statutes

Page

California Constitution 7, 41, 42, 43, 44

Article I, Section 2 ..... 7, 41-44

Evidence Code §§ 452(g) 27

Evidence Code §§ 459(a) 19, 27

Ordinances

National City Municipal Code

§ 18.16.090 ..... 4, 5, 11, 18, 19

§ 18.16.095 ..... 4, 5, 11, 18, 19, 35

§ 18.16.030 ..... *passim*

§ 18.16.030A 15, 22

§ 18.16.030C 15, 17, 26

§ 18.116.020 ..... 11, 12, 19

§ 18.116.020-D 5

Los Angeles Municipal Code

§ 12.70C 6

Rules

CALIFORNIA RULES OF COURT

Rule 29.2(b) 1

Rule 977(b) 8